SINGLE BENCH (3) (6)

CE/102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W. P. (S) No. 4357 /2006

PETITIONER : Smt. Amaravati Devi
W/o Late Lallan Prasad Patel
Age about 48 years R/o Tarbahar,
FCI Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4398/06
Presented by Shri Zakir Sharma
dated 11/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. Food Corporation of India
Through :- Managing Director,
16-20, Bara Khamba Lane,
Khadya Sadan Connaught Place,
New Delhi 110001

2. Through - Regional Manager
Zonal Office (West)
Mistri Bhawan, IInd floor
Dinshaw Wachha Road,
Church Gate, Mumbai (20)

3. Regional Manager,
Telibandha Chauraha
Regional Office, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



44

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.4357 **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	18.8.2006:-	

Shri S.P. Kale, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri B.P. Gupta, Advocate takes notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard both the counsel.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that she is not being paid family pension after the death of her husband late Lallan Prasad Patel, who was working as A.G.-II in the office of F.C.I, Godown, Bilaspur, who died in harness on 16-6-93. Thereafter, the petitioner made several representations to the respondents but the case of the petitioner regarding family pension is not being decided by the respondents.

Shri Gupta, learned counsel for the respondents, submitted that the case of the petitioner has already been forwarded by the Managing Director to the Provident Fund Commissioner and it is pending consideration. He further submitted that some information was sought by the Provident Fund Commissioner from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the information as asked for by the Provident Fund Commissioner has already been furnished by the petitioner.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the Provident Fund Commissioner and he be directed to decide the same, to which counsel for respondents has no objection.

P.T.O.

(45)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this writ petition and its annexures to the Provident Fund Commissioner within a period of 10 days from today raising all her grievances and the Provident Fund Commissioner shall decide the case of the petitioner regarding family pension within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law. If any information is required to be submitted by the petitioner, then same may be intimated to the petitioner and in turn, the petitioner may submit the information to respondent No.1, in turn, respondent No.1 to submit the same to the Provident Fund Commissioner and respondent No.1 to ensure that the order regarding family pension is passed within the stipulated period of 2 months, in accordance with law, after seeking clearance from the Provident Fund Commissioner. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A. No.7922/2006 stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	
Barve		

P.T.O.